GARY W. KAZRAGIS P.O. Box 3990 Sedona, Arizona 86340 State Bar No. 012215 (520) 282-3645 Fax: (520) 282-2017 Attorney for Petitioner

Respondent.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Just L.M. Take



JUN 1 2 2000 .

NORBERT G. WEDEPOHL, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

In re the Marriage of:) Case No.: DO 998-0497
WENDY HEALD WALKER	DIVORCE DECREE
Petitioner,	
And	
JONATHAN FITZALAN WALKER	

This matter having been taken under advisement, the Court finds:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter.
- 2. The marriage presently existing between the parties is irretrievably broken without reasonable prospect of reconciliation.
- 3. There are two minor children common to the parties, Anthony, 14, and Mathew 12.
- 4. The parties cannot agree as to the custody, visitation, and support of their minor children.
- 5. The parties have reached a property settlement agreement regarding all assets and liabilities, and the party's agreement constitutes a fair and equitable division of assets and liabilities.
- 6. Petitioner is seeking joint legal custody of the children with residential custody vested in Petitioner; Respondent is seeking sole custody with visitation in Petitioner.

(RESPIATTY	C/S W/FILE
() DIV	orope TOTAL 3
- (1) Dispo Clk	WFILE () CSSA 6) OTHER Kenor
w/file	· Conchie

7. Since birth, Petitioner has been the primary caregiver for the children; the children have resided with Petitioner since Respondent left the marital residence in December 1998, and Respondent has not had the children for overnight visitation since December 1998.

- 8. The children have lived in their current residence since 1991 and are well adjusted to their school and community.
- 9. The children have frequent unscheduled visitation with Father at Father's tennis club.
 - 10. Both parties have completed the Parent Education program.
- 11. Each of the children has special educational needs, which are being monitored and addressed by Mother and the school.
- 12. The parties have failed to agree on a parenting plan or any elements of a joint custody arrangement.
 - 13. Father desires to "mentor his boys into adulthood".
- 14. Father is self-employed, has several advanced degrees, and has high income earning ability.
 - 15. Father does not maintain a telephone in his residence.
 - 16. The children have telephone and e-mail access with father at his office.
- 17. The parties are unable to communicate effectively, and cannot make joint decisions regarding the children's education and medical needs.
- 18. It is in the children's best interest to maintain their current residential placement with Mother subject to frequent <u>scheduled</u> visitation with the Father, such visitation to be not less than as provided by the Yavapai County Domestic Relations Guidelines.
- 19. The Court adopts as its findings the income and expense data set forth in the parents worksheet for child support amount submitted by Petitioner, however finds

Respondent is entitled to a reduction in his child support obligation equal to the medical premiums paid.

NOW THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The marriage previously existing between the parties is dissolved; each is restored to the status of single persons.
- 2. The parties' agreement as to the division of property and debts is approved, confirmed and deemed to be not unfair.
- 3. Custody of the minor children is vested in Petitioner subject to Respondent's rights of visitation; such rights of visitation shall be not less than as provided by the Yavapai County Domestic Relations Guidelines for short distance parenting.
- 4. Respondent shall obtain and maintain medical insurance on the minor children; any uncovered medical expenses shall be paid for by the parties in the same proportion as their child support obligation.
 - 5. Petitioner is restored to her former name of Heald.

DONE IN OPEN COURT this 12 day of fune

2000.

C:\users\CMS\!Client\Walker\Plead\DivorceDecree.doc